

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				1	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. U.	
10/762,637	01/23/2004	Geoffrey Dodge	5279		
7590 01/31/2005			EXAMINER		
GEOFFREY DODGE			ROWAN, KURT C		
BOX 185 SOUTH SALEM, NY 10590			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
227000000	, , - · · - ·		3643		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1										
		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)						
		10/762,637		DODGE, GEOFFF	REY					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Kurt Rowan		3643						
Dorind	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
		V 10 0FT TO F	WOIDE - MONTH	0) 55014						
THI - Example of the second se	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time! the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)[1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
2a)[☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-	final.							
3)[- · · ·	·			merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Dispos	ition of Claims									
4)[∑	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)[∑	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.									
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.							
Applica	ation Papers									
9)[☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)[] The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P1	ſO-152.					
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	eceived in Applicati	on No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
•	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	copies not receive	ed.						
Attachm	ent(s)									
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	2.450)					
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date	•	Notice of Informal P Other:	ratent Application (PTC	J-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/762,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark s Budweiser, Coors, Preparation H, and Crest has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regard to claim 14, the claims must be in single sentence form only. In reference to claim 18, it is not clear what "to real embodiments" means? In reference to claim 19, it is improper to have Trademarks in the claims.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the smaller of one" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,637 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

4. Regarding claim 4, the phrase "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

- 5. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the name" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the hook" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 12 recites the limitation "the open hook end" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 12 recites the limitation "the box" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the fishing line" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the pole" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 2, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clifford.

Art Unit: 3643

The patent to Clifford shows a a fishing hook enclosure with one hinged side joining two sides 32, 33 as shown in Fig. 1. Clifford shows a bendable tongue 71.

13. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deutsch et al.

The patent to Deutsch shows a fishing hook or lure enclosure 10 having a hinged side 16 joining two sides 12, 14. Deutsch shows clip 30, 34 that clips the two sides together having a hook 26 on the smaller of the two sides 14. This side can be considered as smaller because of indent 32 for clip 30. Deutsch shows a slot or hole42 at the opposite end from the hook end.

14. Claims 2-7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Medford.

The patent to Medford shows a fishing hook enclosure that encloses a hook or hooks or a lure that they fit inside of. In reference to claim 7, Medford discloses different colors in column 4, lines 43-44.

- 15. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Casey.
- 16. The patent to Casey shows a fishing hook and lure holder 10 that mounts on a fishing rod 11 having a rectangular enclosure 15, 20 as shown in Fig. 1
- 17. Claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 18 are rejected under 35 Ù.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beneke.

The patent to Beneke shows a fishing lure and hook holder having a round enclosure 11 that bears a logo 26.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,637 Page 5

Art Unit: 3643

18. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chamberlain.

19. The patent to Chamberlain shows a fishing hook enclosure that can safely enclose a hook that looks like a can of Budweiser or Coors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casey as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Deutsch.

The patents to Casey and Deutsch show fishing hook enclosures as discussed above. Casey does not show a hook on one end of the enclosure to mount to the fishing rod. Casey shows a strap 44 that loops around the rod. The patent to Deutsch shows a hook 26 that attaches to a fishing rod eyelet 60 as shown in Fig. 5. In reference to claim 13, it would have been obvious to provide Casey with a hook attachment as shown by Deutsch since merely one equivalent attachment means sis being substituted for another and the function is the same.

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Jang, Terry, Vance, Krewson, Tatum, Eriksson,

Art Unit: 3643

Roebuck, Reiter, O'Reilly, Reisner, and Reynolds show other enclosures for holding fishing hooks and lures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

KR